

## ON THE BOUND STATE OF $e^+ + \text{Yb}$ SYSTEM

V.I.Kelemen

Institute of Electron Physics, Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences,  
Universytetska St. 21, Uzhhorod, 88016, Ukraine  
e-mail: kelemen@iep.uzhgorod.ua

A phenomenological optical potential is used to investigate the bound states of  $e^+ + \text{Yb}$  system near the threshold of the elastic scattering channel. It was determined that bound s-state  $e^+ + \text{Yb}$  system exists at energies below  $-100$  meV.

It has been predicted in [1] that the bound  $e^+ + \text{Yb}$ -state exists at negative energies. The energy of this s-state,  $-205$  meV, was found by using the polarization potential obtained within the assumption of the existence of stable  $\text{Yb}^-$  ion in the  $^2P_{1/2}$ -state with the  $-54$  meV energy [2]. As regards the  $^2P_{3/2}$ -state, the last was shown in [2] as quasi-bound (i.e. resonance) state with the  $10$  meV energy. Later on, in the experimental work [3] the fact of the existence of the stable  $\text{Yb}^-$  was called in question, while the theoretical paper [4] stated that both  $^2P_{1/2}$  and  $^2P_{3/2}$  states were the shape resonances in the low energy  $e^- + \text{Yb}$ -scattering at  $20$  and  $80$  meV, respectively. Therefore, it appeared to be interesting to repeat the studies on the bound state of the  $e^+ + \text{Yb}$ -system, but with the inclusion of results [4].

Below, similarly to [1], for the case of the positron scattering we shall use the polarization potential obtained for the electron scattering.

For the case of  $e^- + \text{Yb}$  system the optical potential

$$V(r, E) = V_s(r) + V_{so}(r) + V_e(r, E) + V_p(r) \quad (1)$$

is used. Here  $E$  is the impact energy (we used atomic units,  $\hbar = e = m_e = 1$ ).

The electrostatic potential is:

$$V_s(r) = -\frac{Z}{r} \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i \exp(-b_i r) \quad (2)$$

and the electron density of the target atom is:

$$\rho(r) = \frac{Z}{4\pi r} \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i b_i^3 \exp(-b_i r) \quad (3)$$

where parameters  $A_1=0.1267$ ,  $A_2=0.7734$ ,  $A_3=0.0999$ ,  $b_1=31.681$ ,  $b_2=3.9727$  and  $b_3=0.9288$  are obtained in the Dirac-Hartree-Fock-Slater approximation [5].

We have included the spin-orbit interaction by potential [6]

$$V_{so}(r) = \xi(j, \ell) \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{dV_s(r)}{dr} \right) \left[ \frac{\alpha^2}{2 + \alpha^2(E - V_s)} \right] \quad (4)$$

where  $\alpha=1/137$  is the fine-structure constant,  $\xi(j, \ell) = \ell/2$  for  $j = \ell + 1/2$  and  $\xi(j, \ell) = -(\ell + 1)/2$  for  $j = \ell - 1/2$ ,  $\ell$  is the orbital momentum and  $j$  is the total angular momentum of one electron.

$V_e(r, E)$  is the local exchange potential in the free electron gas approximation (see, e.g., [7] and references therein).

The polarization potential is:

$$V_p(r) = -\alpha_d \left\{ 1 - \exp\left[-(r/d)^6\right] \right\} / 2r^4 \quad (5)$$

where  $\alpha_d$  is a dipole polarizability and  $d$  is an adjustable parameter.

The dipole polarizability  $\alpha_d = 167.84 a_0^3$  and the ionization potential  $I = 6.354$  eV for the Yb atom were obtained from the density functional theory [7,8].

Similarly to [7], the variable phase method [9,10] is used to find the partial phaseshifts  $\delta_\ell(E)$  and to study the bound states.

1. Quasibound  $^2P_{1/2}$ -state. Having taken  $d=5.08a_0$  for  $V_p$  and solved the phase equation [9] for  $\ell=1$  and  $j=1/2$  at  $E=1$  meV we have obtained four leaps by  $\pi$  and the phase shift  $\delta_1=12.57$  rad  $\cong 4\pi$  in the phase function  $\delta_1(r)$  behaviour. The calculation of the energy dependence  $\delta_1(E)$  showed that  $\delta_1 \rightarrow 4\pi$  at  $E \rightarrow 0$ . Since the Yb atom has four filled p-subshells (2p, 3p, 4p and 5p) and the additional electron, according to the Pauli's principle, can be bound with the atom only in the unfilled subshell, one may conclude that no bound p-state exists in the  $V_1 \equiv V(d=5.08a_0)$  potential (1). The calculation of the energy dependence  $\delta_1(E)$  for  $j=1/2$  with the use of  $V_1$  gave a rapid increase of the phaseshift  $\delta_1$  from  $4\pi$  to  $5\pi$  within the  $E=5 \div 43$  meV energy range and at 21 meV  $\delta_1=14.08$  rad  $\cong 4\pi + \pi/2$ . Hence, for  $V_1$  we deal with the  $^2P_{1/2}$ -shape resonance at 21 meV. The calculation of

$\delta_1(E)$  for  $j=3/2$  resulted in the  $^2P_{3/2}$ -shape resonance at 69 meV.

2. Bound  $^2P_{1/2}$ -state. The numerical solution of the phase equation with  $V_2 \equiv V(d=4.8a_0)$  for  $\ell=1$  and  $j=1/2$  at low energy gives 5 leaps by  $\pi$  in the phase function  $\delta_1(r)$ , whereas the calculation of the energy dependence  $\delta_1(E)$  shows that  $\delta_1 \rightarrow 5\pi$  at  $E \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, five p-bound states are possible in the  $V_2$  potential, only fifth of which (6p) being the "true" bound state. Thus, the reduction of the parameter  $d$  from  $5.08a_0$  to  $4.8a_0$  gives us more effective potential enabling the quasibound  $^2P_{1/2}$ -state to be "drawn" into the bound state, i.e. to the discrete spectrum.

In the phase function method for the states with negative energies the so-called pole equation has been obtained [10]:

$$\frac{d}{dr} \gamma_\ell(r, \chi) = -\frac{2V(r)}{\chi} \left\{ i_\ell(\chi r) \cos \gamma_\ell(r, \chi) + \frac{2}{\pi} [k_\ell(\chi r) \sin \gamma_\ell(r, \chi)] \right\}^2 \quad (6)$$

where  $\gamma_\ell(r, \chi)$  is the pole function,  $\chi^2 = -2\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon$  is the energy of the bound state in the potential  $V$ ,  $i_\ell$  and  $k_\ell$  are Riccati-Bessel functions of imaginary argument. Equation (6) is solved subject to the boundary condition  $\gamma_\ell(0, \chi) = 0$ .

If  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_5$ ,  $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \dots > \varepsilon_5$ , are the energies of the above mentioned bound states in  $V_2$ , then the function  $\gamma_\ell$  satisfies the conditions:

$$\gamma_\ell(\infty, \chi_n) = \frac{1}{2}(2n+1)\pi, \quad \chi_n^2 = -2\varepsilon_n. \quad (7)$$

We adjust the parameter  $d=4.8a_0$  to have the additional bound 6p-state with  $j=1/2$  and with the energy  $\varepsilon_5 = -54$  meV in the potential  $V_2$ . In other words we adjust  $d$  so that equation (6) has the solution  $\gamma_1(r, \chi)$  at  $\chi = \chi_5$  that satisfies the condition

$$\gamma_1(\infty, \chi_5) = \frac{11}{2}\pi, \quad \frac{1}{2}\chi_5^2 = -\varepsilon_5. \quad (8)$$

Similarly to [2] we have obtained the  $^2P_{3/2}$  state to be quasibound, namely a resonance one at 7 meV.

The potential  $V^+ = V_s^+ + V_p$ , where  $V_s^+ = -V_s$ , is used to investigate the bound states of  $e^+ + \text{Yb}$  system.

The obtained energy dependences of the partial phaseshifts for elastic positron scattering by the Yb atoms, show that at  $E \rightarrow 0$  the  $s$ -wave phaseshift tends to  $\pi$ , unlike the  $p$ -wave and  $d$ -wave phaseshifts. Hence, the  $e^+ + \text{Yb}$  system has at least one bound state, namely  $s$ -bound state. Since the ionization potential  $I=6.354$  eV for Yb atom is less than the energy of the positronium formation (6.8 eV), we conclude (similarly to the case of the positron-alkali system [11]), that

bound  $e^+Yb$ -state exists at negative energies below the  $Yb^+$ -positronium continuum. We have found that the condition  $\gamma_0(\infty, \chi_1) = 3\pi/2$  for  $V^+$  with  $d = 4.8a_0$  is satisfied at the  $\varepsilon_1 = -\chi_1^2/2 = -205$  meV energy and for  $V^+$  with  $d = 5.08a_0$  it is satisfied at the  $\varepsilon_1 = -130$  meV energy (see Table 1).

Table 1. The pole function  $\gamma_0(\infty, \chi_1)$ .

$\gamma_0$ (rad)	$-\varepsilon_1$ (meV)	
	$d=5.08a_0$	$d=4.8a_0$
1.571	132	207
1.571	131	206
4.712	130	205
4.712	129	204

Hence, investigation of the bound states of  $e^\pm Yb$  system within the both above mentioned assumptions of  $^2P_{1/2}$ -state of Yb atom confirms the existence of the bound  $s$ -state of  $e^+Yb$ -system at energies less than  $-100$  meV.

## References

1. V.Kelemen, 28th EGAS Conference, Graz, Austria (1996) p. 570.
2. S.Vosko *et al.*, *J. Phys. B* **24**, L225 (1991).
3. H.Andersen *et al.*, *J. Phys. B* **31**, 2239 (1998).
4. V.Dzuba *et al.*, *J. Phys. B* **31**, L483 (1998).
5. F.Salvat *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. A* **36**, 467 (1987).
6. R.Cowan; *The Theory of Atomic Structure and Spectra* (University of California Press, Berkeley–Los Angeles–London, 1981).
7. V.Kelemen *et al.*, *J. Phys. B* **28**, 1527 (1995).
8. E.Remeta *et al.*, XVIII ICPEAC, Abstracts (1993) p. 58..
9. F.Calogero, *Variable Phase Approach to Potential Scattering* (Academic Press, New York, 1967).
10. V.Babikov, *Phase Function Method in Quantum Mechanics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1988) [in Russian].
11. S.Ward *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. B* **42**, 472 (1989).

## ПРО ЗВ'ЯЗАНИЙ СТАН СИСТЕМИ $e^+Yb$

**В.І.Келемен**

Інститут електронної фізики НАН України,  
вул. Університетська, 21, Ужгород 88000  
e-mail: ier@ier.uzhgorod.ua

Із застосуванням феноменологічного оптичного потенціалу досліджені зв'язані стани  $e^\pm Yb$  системи в околі порога каналу пружнього розсіювання. Встановлено, що зв'язаний  $s$ -стан  $e^+Yb$  системи існує при енергіях менших за  $-100$  meV.